

Bryce Yokomizo Director

September 18, 2002

TO:

Each Supervisor

FROM:

Bryce Yokomizo, Director

SUBJECT:

MONTH-TO-MONTH RENEWAL OF GAIN CASE MANAGEMENT

OPERATIONS CONTRACTS WITH MAXIMUS, INC., AND ACS STATE

AND LOCAL SOLUTIONS, INC.

On July 11, 2000, your Board instructed the Director of the Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) to negotiate and execute contracts for GAIN Case Management Operations with MAXIMUS, Inc., for GAIN Region VII (East Valley), and ACS State and Local Solutions, Inc., (formerly Lockheed Martin IMS), for GAIN Region II (West Valley and Palmdale/Lancaster). The contracts were executed with each contractor for two years, effective October 1, 2000. Your Board also delegated to the Director of DPSS the authority to renew each contract, at the discretion of the Director of DPSS, for up to three additional one-year periods by amending each contract to reflect such renewal.

in approx

In my attached memo dated June 12, 2002, I informed your Board that the contracts with MAXIMUS, Inc., and ACS State and Local Solutions, Inc., are due to expire September 30, 2002. I stated that I planned to obtain revised budgets from each contractor for the next year and, if cost effective, that I planned to exercise the contract option to renew each contract for one year, effective October 1, 2002. I further stated that, if it is determined that the contracts are not cost effective, I would provide you with an update on our plans to bring the services back in-house, pursuant to the requirements of Proposition A.

This is to inform your Board that there has been an extended delay in the contract renewal process because we are refining caseload projections related to the number of participants scheduled to exhaust their 60-month CalWORKs time limit starting in January 2003. We have not been able to finalize caseload projections because we are identifying additional "clock-stoppers," such as temporary disabilities or months in which a participant's cash aid was fully reimbursed by child support collected. We expect that these would result in less reduction in caseload than we have previously estimated.

Each Supervisor September 18, 2002 Page 2

In order to avoid a retroactive contract amendment, or a break in services, County Counsel recommended that we proceed with a month-to-month amendment effective October 1, 2002. Once we receive the final caseload projections (expected by the end of October 2002), we will obtain new budgets from the contractors and complete the County's cost analysis. The contractors' budgets and the County's cost analysis will then be submitted to the Auditor-Controller for review.

If the Auditor-Controller determines that the contracts are cost effective, I plan to proceed with executing another amendment for each contract, which will terminate the month-to-month and renew based on the final approved budgets.

If it is determined that the contracts are not cost effective, and they cannot be negotiated down to a point where they are cost effective, I will provide you with an update on our plans to bring the services back in-house, pursuant to Proposition A requirements.

We anticipate resolution of this issue by December 31, 2002.

BY:jj

Attachment

c: Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors Chief Administrative Officer County Counsel



Bryce Yokomizo

June 12, 2002

TO:

Each Supervisor

FROM:

Bryce Yokomizo, Director

SUBJECT:

RENEWAL OF GAIN CASE MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS

CONTRACTS WITH MAXIMUS, INC. AND ACS STATE AND LOCAL

SOLUTIONS, INC.

On July 11, 2000, your Board instructed the Director of the Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) to negotiate and execute contracts for GAIN Case Management Operations with MAXIMUS, Inc. for GAIN Region VII (East Valley) and ACS State and Local Solutions, Inc. (formerly Lockheed Martin IMS) for GAIN Region II (West Valley and Palmdale/Lancaster). The contracts were executed with each contractor for two years, effective October 1, 2000. Your Board also delegated to the Director of DPSS the authority to renew each contract, at the discretion of the Director of DPSS, for up to three (3) additional one-year periods by amending each contract to reflect such renewal.

This is to inform your Board that the contracts with MAXIMUS, Inc. and ACS State and Local Solutions, Inc. are due to expire September 30, 2002. I plan to obtain revised budgets for the next year from each contractor and, if cost effective, I plan to exercise the contract option to renew each contract for one year, effective October 1, 2002. If it is determined that the contracts are not cost effective. I will provide you with an update on our plans to bring the services back in-house, pursuant to the requirements of Proposition A.

To date, the contractors have provided satisfactory performance. Each contract allows incentive payments for exceeding the County's performance by at least 3 percent in both of the two key service areas during the same six-month interval periods. The two key service areas are the average monthly placement rate and average monthly entry level wage for GAIN participants. Conversely, each contract provides penalty deductions for falling below the County's performance by at least 3 percent in both service areas. Although the contracts were approved effective October 1, 2000, the incentive payment and penalty deduction provisions in each contract are applicable beginning November 1, 2000.

Following are cumulative six-month average employment placement rates and the average entry level wage for the periods of November 2000 through April 2001 and May 2001 through October 2001, as compared to County averages for the same periods:

County/ Contractor	Employment Placements				Average Wage			
	Cumulative 6-Month Average Employment Placement Rate		Percent Difference Compared to County's Average Employment Placement Rate		Cumulative 6-Month Average Entry Level Wage		Percent Difference Compared to County's Average Entry Level Wage	
	11/00 thru 04/01	05/01 thru 10/01	11/00 thru 04/01	05/01 thru 10/01	11/00 thru 04/01	05/01 thru 10/01	11/00 thru 04/01	05/01 thru 10/01
ACS	5.43%	7.34%	-28.3%	-32.5%	\$7.72	\$7.83	3.5%	5%
MAXIMUS	10.26%	11.74%	39.2%	7.9%	\$7.60	\$7.80	1.9%	4.6%
County	7.37%	10.88%		Ž.	\$7.46	\$7.48		

For the period of November 2000 through April 2001, there were no incentive payments or penalty deductions for either contractor because they did not meet the contract incentive/penalty criteria.

For the period of May 2001 through October 2001, MAXIMUS' performance exceeded County's performance threshold for both placements and entry level wage, resulting in an incentive payment. ACS received no incentives, nor were they assessed any penalties, for the May 2001 through October 2001 period.

BY:jj

c: Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors
Chief Administrative Officer
County Counsel